the Amino-sugars in Proteins and Tissues". *J. Chromatogr.*  **1986, 378,** 67-76.

- Zarkadas, C. G.; Marshall, W. D.; Khalili, A. D.; Nguyen, Q.; Zarkadas, G. C.; Karatzas, C. H.; Khanizadeh, S. "Mineral Composition of Selected Bovine, Porcine and Avian Muscles, and Meat Products". *J. Food Sci.* **1987a,** *52,* 520-525.
- Zarkadas, C. G.; Rochemont, J. A.; Zarkadas, G. C.; Karatzas, C. N.; Khalili, A. D. "Determination of Methylated Basic, **5-**  Hydroxylysine, Elastin Crosslinks, Other Amino Acids and the Amino **Sugars** in Proteins and Tissues". *Anal. Biochem.* **1987b,**  160, 251-266.
- Zarkadas, C. G.; Meighen, **E. A.;** Zarkadas, G. C.; Karatzas, C. N.; Rochemont, J. A.; Berthelet, M. "The Determination of the Myofibrillar and Connective Tissue Proteins in the Bovine Diaphragm". *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1988, companion paper in this issue.

Received for review May 11,1987. Accepted January 26,1988. This investigation was supported by Agriculture Canada in the form of a DSS Contract (No. OSU81-00523) with McGill University and by McGill University in the form of postgraduate bursary awarded to C.N.K. (1984-1985).

# **Levels of Formaldehyde in Milk, Blood, and Tissues of Dairy Cows and Calves Consuming Formalin-Treated Whey'**

Katherine E. Buckley,\* Lorne J. Fisher, and Vernon *G.* MacKay2

Residual formaldehyde  $(CH<sub>2</sub>O)$  in the tissues, blood, and milk of calves and cows fed whey preserved with various levels of formalin was measured by gas chromatography with an electron-capture detection (ECD) system after derivatization with **(2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydrazine.** The morning milk from cows fed whey preserved with 0.05, 0.10, and 0.15% formalin in three individual trials contained an average of 0.034, 0.095, and 0.208 mg of  $CH_2O$  kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Endogenous levels of  $CH_2O$  in milk from cows fed whey without added formalin were below the detection limit of 0.026 mg of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  kg<sup>-1</sup>. Average blood CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration in cows fed 0.15% formalin-treated whey was greater  $(P < 0.01)$  than that of control cows at 33 days  $(0.831 \pm 0.132 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \text{ vs. } 0.615 \pm 0.110 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})$  but not significantly  $(P >$ 0.05) different 9 days (0.825  $\pm$  0.061 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> vs 0.766  $\pm$  0.045 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) after formalin treatment was initiated. Fresh muscle tissue from calves consuming whey containing 0.10% formalin had a significantly  $(P < 0.05)$  higher concentration of CH<sub>2</sub>O (0.256 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) than fresh muscle tissue from control calves or calves consuming whey containing  $0.05\%$  formalin (0.178 and 0.206 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). There was no significant  $(P > 0.05)$  effect due to formalin preservation of whey on CH<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in blood and frozen muscle, kidney, liver, and heart tissues.

Production of liquid whey from the manufacture of cheddar cheese (sweet whey) and cottage cheese (acid whey) exceeds the demand for whey products to be incorporated into animal and human foods. Without costly treatment prior to disposal, this excess energy-rich resource becomes a serious environmental pollutant. Ideally, all liquid whey could be fed directly to livestock, with benefits accruing to the dairy industry by eliminating current disposal costs. Unfortunately centers of livestock production are often not in close enough proximity to cheese plants to allow daily delivery of fresh whey at an attractive cost to producers. Whey is an unstable feedstuff and should be offered fresh to maintain palatability.

An alternative to daily whey delivery would be to add a preservative to the whey and store it in covered tanks. The addition of formalin  $(37\%$  formaldehyde  $[CH<sub>2</sub>O]$ ,  $w/w$ ) at a level of 0.1% by weight inhibits lactose conversion in whey with no reported detrimental effects on health and growth of livestock (Modler et al., 1980). The metabolic fate of ingested  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in livestock species is largely unknown, as is the effect of ingested  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  on concentration of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in vivo and the potential health risks to humans from chronic exposure to food products containing  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ . Interest by the dairy industry in obtaining government approval of formalin as a preservative

for whey led to support for an investigation of the transfer of CH<sub>2</sub>O from ingested formalin-treated whey to tissues and milk of dairy cattle.

The purpose of this investigation was to apply a new analytical technique to the determination of free and reversibly bound  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in tissues and milk of dairy animals ingesting formalin-treated acid whey under conditions simulating a commercial operation. Although the chromotropic acid assay has been a popular method for analysis of CH<sub>2</sub>O in livestock tissues and milk (Mills et al., 1972; Kreula and Rauramaa, 1976; Florence and Milner, 1981; Syrjälä-qvist and Setälä, 1982a,b), the sensitivity of this method **has** been considered inadequate for determination of endogenous CH20 in animal tissues (Heck et **al.,** 1982). Analysis of aldehydes as derivatives of (2,4-dinitropheny1)hydrazine by gas chromatography with 63Ni electron-capture detection [ECD] (Buckley et al., 1986) provides the sensitivity and specificity required to detect endogenous levels of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ .

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Animals and Diets.** *Lactating Cows.* The experiment involved the use of 12 Holstein dairy cows in their first trimester of lactation with a history of zero to eight previous lactations (group average of three lactations) and no previous exposure to CH<sub>2</sub>O-treated feeds. The cows were trained to electronic gates (Calan Broadbent Doors, American Calan, Inc., Northwood, NH) to ensure that each animal received the designated treatment. The diet consisted of a low-enery pelleted concentrate (Table I) fed at a level of 1 kg of concentrate/3 kg of milk, liquid acid whey (Table 11), and good-quality grass hay offered ad libitum.

Agriculture Canada, P.O. Box 1000, Agassiz, British Columbia, Canada VOM 1AO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Contribution No. 365.

Present address: 46088 Greenwood Dr., Sardis, BC, Canada V2R 124.

**Table** I. **Composition of the Concentrate Fed to Cows with Liauid Acid Whey** 

ingredient, % of diet	
oats	68.00
soybean meal	14.60
molasses	3.95
canola meal	9.60
limestone	3.00
mineral-vitamin mix <sup>a</sup>	0.85
chem constituent, % of dry matter	
crude protein	21.00
acid detergent fiber	10.25
DE (Mcal)	3.9
calcium	1.36
phosphorus	0.46
magnesium	0.20
sodium chloride	0.15

'Provided the following per kilogram of diet: cobalt, 0.279 mg; copper, 1.760 g; manganese, 50 mg; zinc, 50 mg; iodine, **1.5** mg; selenium, 0.20 mg; vitamin **A,** 301 IU/kg DM; vitamin D, 25 IU/kg DM.

**Table 11. Estimated Chemical Composition of Whey on a Liquid (As Fed) Basis** 

acidity, pH	3.98	
water	95.2	
total solids	4.8	
solids content, g		
lactose	$3.2\,$	
protein	0.5	
fat	< 0.05	
lactic acid	0.4	
ash	0.5	
gross energy, kcal/kg	4.1	
ash content		
calcium	0.10	
phosphorus	0.08	
iron	0.001	
potassium	0.14	
sodium	0.05	

A total of 49 days were allowed for the cows to adjust to the experimental conditions and to establish uniform maximum whey consumption.

The experiment was divided into three trials of 35 days each with 14-day intervals between trials. The six control cows were fed 75 kg $\cdot$ head<sup>-1</sup> $\cdot$ day<sup>-1</sup> of untreated whey in all three trials, while the remaining six were fed 75 kg. head<sup>-1</sup>-day<sup>-1</sup> of whey treated with 0.05%, 0.10%, and 0.15% formalin (0.0185%, 0.0370%, **0.0555%** CH20), respectively. The calculated amounts of ingested  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  were 13.9 g·head<sup>-1</sup>·day<sup>-1</sup> in trial 1, 27.8 g·head<sup>-1</sup>·day<sup>-1</sup> in trial 2, and  $41.6$  g-head<sup>-1</sup>-day<sup>-1</sup> in trial 3. Whey was fed once a day at 1000 h. All whey was consumed by 1630 h.

Morning milk was sampled on days  $-3$ , 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 20, 27, and 34 of each 35-day trial period, with sampling taking place 22 h after the cows were last fed whey. Aliquots of milk were obtained by bubbling air briefly through the collection **jar** containing the morning milk of each animal, thereby mixing the milk. A 250-mL aliquot was then drained off into a sample container. Milk was also sampled 46 h after access to the last whey feeding at the end of trial 3.

Blood was sampled on the day before initiation of trial 3, and the 9th and 33rd day of that trial immediately prior to the daily whey feeding.

*Veal Calves.* Eighteen Holstein bull calves were fed whole milk and pelleted calf starter containing 18% crude protein until they reached an average weight of 90 kg. A 26% crude protein pelleted concentrate was then substituted for the calf starter, and liquid acid whey was slowly substituted for milk until the calves were consuming whey at a rate of 10% body weight. Details of the two pelleted

**Table** 111. **Composition of Concentrate Diets Fed When Calves Were Consuming Whole Milk and When They Were Consuming Whey** 

ingred/ton DM	whole milk	whey
alfalfa (dehyd, ground)		50.0
wheat		75.0
corn		310.0
oats	400.0	
barley	300.0	
soybean meal	200.0	364.0
rapeseed meal		30.0
distillers grains		50.0
molasses	40.0	50.0
hentonite		12.5
meat meal		30.0
limestone		7.5
sodium chloride		7.5
dical phosphate	30.0	7.5
mineral-vitamin mix	$20.0^{\circ}$	$6.0^b$

' Provided the following per kilogram of diet: vitamin **A,** <sup>300000</sup> IU; vitamin D, 6000 IU; vitamin E, 600 IU; phosphorus, 170.0 g; calcium, 240.0 g; magnesium, 15.0 g; iodine, 500 mg; cobalt, 160 mg; manganese, 2.0 g.  $b$  Provided the following per kilogram of diet: calcium, 13.6 g; phosphorus, 4.6 g; magnesium, 2.0; cobalt, 0.279 mg; copper, 1.76 g; manganese, 50 mg; zinc, 50 mg; iodine, 1.5 mg; selenium 0.20 mg; vitamin **A,** 300 000 IU; vitamin D, 301 IU; vitamin E. 25 IU

**Table IV. Nutrient Composition of Concentrate Diets Fed When Calves Were Consuming Whole Milk and When They Were Consuming Whey** 

	% total dry matter			
nutrient	whole milk	whey		
crude protein	18	26		
ether extract	3.5	2.4		
crude fiber	4.8	4.1		
calcium	0.80	0.88		
phosphorus	0.69	0.71		
TDN	72.2	73.3		
ME, Mcal/ton	1090	1150		

concentrates are given in Tables **I11** and IV. When whey consumption averaged 10 kg $\cdot$ head<sup>-1</sup> $\cdot$ day<sup>-1</sup>, the calves were grouped in threes according to body weight and calves within each group were randomly assigned to a treatment (whey containing 0.0,0.05, **or** 0.10% formalin) so that all treatments were equally represented. Whey was fed individually at 0800 and 1200 h in two equal feedings supplemented by pelleted concentrate  $(2 \text{ kg-head}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1})$  and long hay ad libitum. Unconsumed whey was weighed back at 1630 h each day, and total intake for each animal was determined. The calves were weighed weekly, the amount of whey offered being maintained at a level of 10% body weight. The day before slaughter, the calves were fed a single feeding of whey at 0800 h. All feed was withdrawn at 1200 h.

Two calves from each treatment group were slaughtered each week over a 3-week period. Tissue samples were therefore obtained at 81,88, and 95 days after the initial feeding of formalin-treated whey. The slaughtering schedule facilitated the rapid sample handing necessary to analyze fresh tissue and allowed all calves to achieve a weight of approximately **225** kg. Blood samples were collected the day before slaughter, immediately prior to the whey feeding at 1200 h. Thin sections of muscle (longissimus dorsi at the last rib), kidney, liver, and heart tissues were obtained at the abattoir and immediately placed on dry ice.

**Extraction Procedure.** Milk sample preparation and analysis by gas chromatography were carried out as described previously (Buckley et al., 1986). Whole blood (approximately 3 mL) and slices of fresh or frozen tissue

Table V. Average Concentration **of** CH,O (mg/kg) in Milk from **Cows** Consuming Various Levels of Formalin in Formalin-Treated **Whey"** 

formalin level added to				day of trial <sup>o</sup>						
whey, % total wt						13	20	27	34	<b>SEM</b>
0.00	$\leq$ DL <sup>c</sup>	<dl< td=""><td><math>&lt;</math>DL</td><td><math>&lt;</math>DL</td><td><math>&lt;</math>DL</td><td><math>&lt;</math>DL</td><td><math>&lt;</math>DL</td><td><math>&lt;</math>DL</td><td><math>&lt;</math>DL</td><td></td></dl<>	$<$ DL	$<$ DL	$<$ DL	$<$ DL	$<$ DL	$<$ DL	$<$ DL	
0.05	0.040	0.038	$<$ DL	$<$ DL	0.026	$<$ DL	0.063	0.028	0.052	0.020
0.10	0.110	0.069	0.072	0.054	0.107	0.095	0.097	0.081	0.094	0.031
0.15	0.209	0.179	0.223	0.221	0.182	0.190	0.219	0.256	0.193	0.060

**'All** values are corrected to account for the reagent blank. **n** = **6.** 'Formalin-treated whey **was** introduced on day **1. 'Less** than detection limit (DL). DL = **0.026** mg/kg.

(wet mass 2.0-4.0 g) were weighed into Taylor tubes and extracted in the enme manner **as** the milk samples. Frozen tisues were quickly introduced into the extraction reagent to prevent moisture loss.

Milk and blood samples were extracted within 0.5 h of collection. Representative suhsamples of longissimus muscle tissue were subjected to the extraction procedure within 1 h of slaughter. Kidney, liver, heart, and the remaining muscle tissues were frozen at  $-4$   $\degree$ C until extraction could he performed.

For the analysis of blood samples only, gas chromatographic injector and column temperatures were decreased from 270 and 260 °C to 250 and 235 °C, respectively.

Statistical Analysis. In the trial with lactating cows, significant treatment effects were determined by analysis of variance utilizing a split-plot design (Little and Hills, 1972). There were six ohservations within each treatment, which in turn represented repeated ohservations within each trial. All other statistical analysis were one-way or two-way analyses of variance. Differences between treatment means were tested for significance hy orthogonal comparison of means (Little and Hills, 1972)).

#### RESULTS

The concentrations of CH<sub>2</sub>O determined in milk are given in Table V. Previous experimental results indicated that endogenous levels of CH,O cannot **be** detected in **milk**  by this analytical method due to a small amount of contamination in the derivatizing reagent mixture (Buckley et al., 1986). Feeding formalin-treated whey resulted in the excretion of a measurable amount of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in milk with a few exceptions. On days 3, 4, and 13 of trial 1, average milk  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentration could not be reliably determined for cows ingesting 0.05% formalin in whey because CH,O could only **he** detected in the milk of three of the six cows. As the level of CH<sub>2</sub>O intake increased, the concentration of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  found in the milk increased ( $P <$ 0.01). There was no significant  $(P > 0.05)$  effect due to day of collection, indicating that milk concentrations of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  did not increase over time. Average total  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ excreted in the morning milk during the experimental period was calculated for trials 1-3 and was found to he  $0.53 \pm 0.17$ ,  $1.41 \pm 0.20$ , and  $2.80 \pm 0.24$  mg, respectively. On the basis of average morning milk yields of 16.1, 14.9, and 12.8 kg for trials 1-3, respectively, the amount of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ appearing in the milk was  $3.8 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $5.1 \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $6.7$  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup>% of the ingested CH<sub>2</sub>O in each trial. Formaldehyde could not he detected in milk collected prior to the initiation of each trial or 46 h after access to the last formalin-treated whey feeding.

The concentrations of CH<sub>2</sub>O determined in the blood of the cows are illustrated in Figure 1. Analysis of variance performed on data obtained from blood  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  determinations is summarized in Table VI. Mean comparisons revealed that the blood  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentrations of cows consuming formalin-free whey and those consuming whey containing  $0.15\%$  formalin were not significantly  $(P > 0.05)$ different prior to initiation of the feeding trial or on day



**Figure 1.** Concentration of formaldehyde (means  $\pm$  SE,  $n = 6$ ) in blood of cows designated **aa** controls **(C)** and **cows** assigned to whey containing 0.15% formalin (T). CH<sub>2</sub>O levels were measured prior **to** initiation of the feeding trial and on **days 9** and **33** of the trial. Treatment means bearing different letters (a-c) differ significantly  $(P < 0.05)$ .

Table VI. Analysis of Variance Table for Concentrations of CHIO in Cattle **Blood** 

variation source	degrees of freedom	sum of squares	mean square	F	signif level, P
total	35	0.5413			
sampling day (S)	2	0.0799	0.0400	3.8835	< 0.05
treatment group $(T)$	1	0.0888	0.0888	8.6214	$0.01$
$\text{S} \times \text{T}$	2	0.0632	0.0316	3.0680	> 0.05
residual	30	0.3094	0.0103		

9 of the trial. On day 33, the difference in average  $\rm CH_{2}O$ concentrations of blood of control cows and cows consuming treated whey was significantly  $(P < 0.05)$  different. However, there was also a significant  $(P < 0.05)$  difference between control cows on day 9 of sampling compared to controls on day 33. **This** finding suggests that blood CH,O concentrations in cows vary with time.

Table VII shows average  $(n = 6)$  whey intakes and average  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  intakes (g) for each treatment over the entire calf-feeding trial and for the last day of the trial only. There was a significantly  $(P < 0.05)$  higher  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentration in fresh muscle tissue of calves consuming whey treated with 0.10% formalin compared to tissue from control calves (Table VIII). *AU* other differences in tissue levels of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  were not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). Variability in tissue concentrations of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  due to sampling day was not significant  $(P > 0.05)$ .

#### DISCUSSION

Significant  $(P < 0.01)$  transfer of ingested CH<sub>2</sub>O to milk occurred at all levels of formalin fed to cows (Table V). Variability among cows in milk CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration was expected hecause although all cows consumed 75 kg of

**Table VII. Average Intake of Formalin-Treated Whey and Formaldehyde (CH,O) by Dairy Calves during the Experimental Period and the Day Prior to Slaughter"** 

	% formalin in whey				
item	0.0	0.05	0.10		
av whey intake, <sup>b</sup> kg-head <sup>-1</sup> -day <sup>-1</sup>		$13.39 \pm 2.74$ $13.04 \pm 2.55$	$12.18 \pm 3.10$		
whey intake last day of trial, kg·head <sup>-1</sup>		$15.34 \pm 2.67$ $14.03 \pm 2.55$	$13.87 \pm 3.88$		
av CH <sub>2</sub> O intake, <sup>a</sup> g-head <sup>-1</sup> -day <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	$2.41 \pm 0.047$	$4.50 \pm 1.15$		
CH <sub>2</sub> O intake day before slaughter, $\mathbf{z}\cdot\mathbf{head}^{-1}$	0.00	$2.60 \pm 0.48$	$5.13 \pm 1.43$		

Average intakes of formalin-treated whey and formaldehyde were calculated for three slaughter groups of calves. Two calves from each treatment group (0.0, **0.05,** and **0.10%** formalin in whey) were slaughtered at **81,88,** and **95** days after the initial feeding of formalin-treated whey.  $b$  Average  $\pm$  standard error.

Table VIII. Average Concentration of Formaldehyde ( $\mu$ g/g) **in Tissues from Calves Consuming Various Levels of Formalin in Formalin-Treated Whey"** 

		level of formalin. %			
tissue	0.00	0.05	0.10	<b>SEM</b>	
blood (fresh)	0.512	0.532	0.521	0.083	
heart (frozen)	0.550	0.632	0.570	0.145	
kidney (frozen)	1.373	1.701	1.541	0.313	
liver (frozen)	3.623	4.082	3.478	0.621	
muscle (fresh)	$0.178$ <sup><math>n</math></sup>	$0.206$ <sup>ab</sup>	0.256 <sup>b</sup>	0.045	
muscle (frozen)	0.133	0.155	0.188	0.033	

<sup>a</sup> Average formaldehyde concentrations  $(n = 6)$  in tissues were determined for three slaughter groups of calves. Two calves from each treatment (0.0, 0.05, and **0.10%** formalin in whey) were slaughtered at 81, 88, and 95 days after the initial feeding of formalin-treated whey. All samples of each frozen tissue type were analyzed simultaneously. Fresh muscle samples for each slaughter group were analyzed on each slaughter day. All blood samples were collected and analyzed simultaneously. Numbers in the same row that do not have a common letter in their superscripts (a,b) differ  $(P < 0.05)$ .

whey, rate of consumption **of** the whey varied from a few minutes for some cows to **7** h for others. It is possible that  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentrations may have been higher in the milk from the afternoon milking, by which time the cows had consumed their daily whey allotment. Due to experimental constraints and the need for rapid analysis, it was not feasible to collect and extract milk from the afternoon milking. Preliminary experiments in this laboratory indicated that overnight refrigeration of milk samples spiked with known quantities of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  resulted in low recoveries of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  extracted from milk the following day. This finding is supported by the work of Tome et al. (1985) who found that reactions involving  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ , tyrosine, and lysine resulted in the formation of acid-resistant bonds and that of Florence and Milner (1981) who observed that  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ recoveries in milk decreased upon storing  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ -spiked samples for **7** days.

Discrepancies in the literature with regard to the appearance of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in milk of animals consuming  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ treated feeds may be due in part to the form in which the  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  was ingested. There is some indication that  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ complexed with urea is not transferred to milk as readily as free CH<sub>2</sub>O (Syrjälä-qvist and Setälä, 1982b). In feeding trials with sheep, Mills et al. (1972) observed that the proportion of 14C recovered in expired air and feces appeared to be related to the reaction time between  $^{14}CH<sub>2</sub>O$ and casein prior to ingestion of the radioactive supplement. A longer reaction time resulted in a lower amount of radioactivity in expired air and a higher amount in the feces (Mills et ai., 1972), indicating decreased availability of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  for metabolism by rumen bacteria and lower gut digestive processes.

The concentration of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  determined in blood of dairy cows was lower than that reported in rats and humans (Heck et al., 1985). Differences in blood  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentrations between monogastrics and ruminants likely reflect differences in metabolism of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ . The blood of monogastrics and ruminants differs widely in the concentration of many constituents. Like the present authors, Heck and his associates (1985) found that the blood  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentration of the subject varied with time.

Ingesting  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  at a level of 41.6 g $day^{-1}$  did not appear to increase the  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentration in the blood of cows when samples were collected 23 h after last ingestion of the chemical (Figure 1). Likewise, blood  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentrations found in calves consuming approximately 2.41 and 4.50 g·day<sup>-1</sup> of CH<sub>2</sub>O did not differ  $(P > 0.05)$  from control values (Table VIII).

The endogenous concentrations of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  found in kidney, muscle, and liver tissues (Table VIII) were much lower than those reported for sheep (Mills et **al.,** 1972) and pigs (Florence and Milner, 1981) as determined by chromotropic acid assay. Florence and Milner (1981), who used a technique similar to that of Mills et al.  $(1972)$ , noted that some charring of the sample occurred during steam distillation prior to reaction with with chromotropic acid, resulting in significant increases in  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  values. Therefore, it might be expected that chemical assays that are subject to such interferences are unreliable for determining trace levels of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in biological systems. Liver  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ concentrations in calves were very similar to those reported for rats determined by GC/MS after in situ derivatization with (pentafluoropheny1)hydrazine (Heck et al., 1982).

Lower  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  concentrations in frozen muscle tissue compared to fresh tissue indicate that some of the  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$ may have been metabolized or irreversibly bound to muscle protein, thereby reducing recovery of analyzable  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in frozen tissue. Volatilization as an avenue of tissue  $\overline{CH}_2O$ loss seems improbable since  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  readily polymerizes at refrigerated temperatures, thereby becoming less volatile.

Although there is some indication in rats and humans that toxicity due to  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  inhalation is unlikely to occur at sites distant from the nasal mucosa (Heck et **al.,** 1985), our research indicates that ingestion of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  by cattle results in elevated levels of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  in milk and muscle tissue. However, the amounts of  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  found in the present study are much less than those found in certain human food and beverage products such as beer and soft drinks (0.1-1.5 and 7.4-8.7 mg/kg, respectively; Lawrence and Iyengar, 1983), ripened Provolone cheese and the outer layer of smoked ham (26 and 224-267 mg/kg, respectively; Brunn and Klostermeyer, 1984), and coffee (3.4-16.3 ppm; Hayashi et al., 1986). It is likely that storage and pasteurization of milk from cows ingesting formalin would result in a reduction of free  $CH<sub>2</sub>O$  through irreversible binding with milk protein.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was funded by Agriculture Canada under the auspices of the Feed from Waste Program. We express our gratitude to Mike Yusko and the Fraser Valley Milk Producers Co-operative Association for supplying fresh whey in support of the study.

**Registry No.** CH<sub>2</sub>O, 50-00-0.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Brunn, W.; Klostermeyer, H. "Detection and Determination of Formaldehyde in Foods". *Lebensmittelchem. Gerichtl. Chem.*  **1984, 38, 16-17.** 

- Buckley, K. E.; Fisher, L. J.; MacKay, V. G. "Electron Capture Gas Chromatographic Determination of Traces of Formaldehyde in Milk as the **2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone".** *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.* 1986,69,655-657.
- Florence, E.; Milner, D. F. "Determination of Free and Loosely Protein-bound Formaldehyde in the Tissues of Pigs Fed Formalin-treated Skin Milk as a Protein Supplement". *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 1981, 32, 288-292.
- Gdi, C. L.; Ragusa, C.; Resmini, P.; Marinovich, M. "Toxicological Evaluation in **Rats** and Mice of the Ingestion of a Cheese made from Milk with Added Formaldehyde". *Food Chem. Toxicol.*  1983,21, 313-318.
- Hayashi, T.; Reece, C. A.; Shibamoto, T. "Gas Chromatographic Determination of Formaldehyde in Coffee via Thiazolidine Derivative". J. *Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.* 1986, 69, 101-105.
- Heck, H. d'A.; White, E. L.; Casanova-Schmitz, M. *Determination* of Formaldehyde in Biological Tissues by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry". *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* 1982, 9, 347-353.
- Heck, H. d'A.; Casanova-Schmitz, M.; Dodd, P. B.; Schachter, E. N.; Witek, T. J.; Tosun, T. "Formaldehyde (CH2O) Concentrations in the Blood of Humans and Fischer-344 Rats Exposed to CH<sub>2</sub>O Under Controlled Conditions". Am. Ind. *Hyg. ASSOC. J*1985, *46,* 1-3.
- Kreula, M.; Rauramaa, A. "Transfer of Formaldehyde from Feed to Milk during the Feeding of Fresh Cut Grass Treated with Formaldehyde-containing Preservative". J. Sci. Agric. Soc. *Finland* 1976, *48,* 154-157.
- Lawrence, J. F.; Iyenger, J. R. "The Determination of Formaldehyde in Beer and Soft Drinks by HPLC of the 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone Derivative". *Znt.* J. *Enuiron. Anal. Chem.* 1983,15,47-52.
- Little, T. M.; Hills, F. J. "Sub-plots as Repeated Observations". In *Statistical Methods in Agricultural Research;* University of California: Davis, CA, 1972.
- Mills, S. C.; Sharry, L. F.; Cook, L. J.; Scott, T. W. "Metabolism of [14C]Formaldehyde when Fed to Ruminants as an Aldehyde-Casein-Oil Complex". *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* 1972,25,807-816.
- Modler, H. W.; Muller, P. G.; Elliot, J. T.; Emmons, D. B. "Economic and Technical Aspects of Feeding Whey to Livestock". J. *Dairy Sci.* 1980,63, 838-855.
- Syrjälä-qvist, L.; Setälä, J. "Formaldehyde Content of Milk. 1. Cows Fed on Protein Concentrates Treated with Different Amounts of Formaldehyde". *J. Sci. Agric. SOC. Finland* 1982a, *54,* 63-67.
- Syrjälä-qvist, L.; Setälä, J. "Formaldehyde Content of Milk. 2. Cows Fed on Grass Silage Preserved With Formaldehydecontaining Additive and on Formaldehyde-treated Urea. J. *Sci. Agric. SOC. Finland* 198213, *54,* 69-76.
- Tome, D.; Naulet, N.; Kozlowski, A. "13C-N.M.R. Characterization of Formaldehyde Bonds in Model Mixtures and Proteins Containing Lysine. *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res.* 1985, 25, 258-266.

Received for review July **7,** 1987. Revised manuscript received March 25, 1988. Accepted May 7, 1988.

## **Isolation and Characterization of Low Molecular Weight Protein from Mustard** *(Brassica juncea* )

Aruna Venkatesh and A. G. Appu Rao\*,1

The low molecular weight protein fraction from *Brassica juncea,* the mustard seed, has been purified to homogeneity by ammonium sulfate fractionation followed by gel filtration on a Sephadex *G-75* column. The protein contains 0.2% carbohydrate, is free from phosphorus, and is rich in its available lysine content  $(6.7 \pm 0.4\%)$ . The protein has a sedimentation coefficient of 1.7 S and molecular weight of 22900  $\pm$ 2000 and consists of two polypeptide chains of molecular weights 13 000 and 12 000. The protein has a low content of aromatic amino acids and is free from chromogenic impurities like phenolic acids and nucleic acids; it has an absorption maximum at 278 nm and a shoulder at 288 nm. The fluorescence emission maximum is at 335 nm. The optical rotatory dispersion spectrum indicates a trough at 232 nm with  $-6000^{\circ}$  cm<sup>2</sup> dmol<sup>-1</sup> of molecular rotation. The near-ultraviolet and far-ultraviolet circular dichroism measurements indicate that the protein differs from the high molecular weight protein fraction in terms of its tertiary and secondary structure.

Mustard *(Brassica juncea)* is one of the major oilseeds of India. The defatted meal is of nutritionally superior quality and can be incorporated in human and animal food and feed **after** the removal of glucosinolates (Ohlson, 1985). An understanding of the chemical and physicochemical properties of the proteins and their interactions with toxic and chromogenic components may lead to better methods of processing and detoxification. Mustard proteins consist of two protein fractions: a high molecular weight protein fraction (12s fraction) constituting about 25% and a low molecular weight protein fraction constituting about 70% of the total proteins (Gururaj Rao et al., 1978). The low molecular weight protein fraction consists of three to four proteins that are very similar in size but differ in their electrophoretic mobility.

There are reports in the literature regarding the isolation and characterization of low molecular weight protein from *Brassica napus* L., the rapeseed (Bhatty et al., 1968; Swanljung, 1972; Lonnerdal and Janson, 1972; Mackenzie and Blakely, 1972; Schwenke et al., 1973; Simard and Boulet, 1978; Raab and Schwenke, 1984). Crouch et al. (1983) have reported the amino acid sequence of this protein and the DNA sequence of the gene. The various methods that have been reported for the isolation of 2s protein from rapeseed involve the removal of 12s protein by ammonium sulfate precipitation or gel filtration on Sephadex gels and fractionation of the low molecular weight protein by repeated exclusion chromatography on Sephadex gels followed by resolving the proteins that are differing in charge though similar in size with ion-exchange chromatography. Raab and Schwenke (1984) have isolated a low molecular weight protein fraction by means of fractional precipitation and dissolution with ammonium

Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore-570 013, India.

Present address: Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Genetics, Box **B-121,** University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Denver, CO 80262.